

DPF-0618-59

14 October 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR : Chief, Finance Section, DFD

ATTENTION :

SUBJECT :

(Travel Expenses Connected with Courier Trips)

1. This is to explain in detail travel vouchers submitted by the above-named Subjects in regard to taxi fares from a Washington, D.C. delivery point to their home at the completion of an assignment. The explanations are included in one letter since both assignments were relatively the same type.

2. On this Staff it is necessary for Security Office, DFD, to get Couriers TDY from the Office of Security for trips escorting cargo from the Stewart Building, 5th and K Streets, to Rochester, New York, and frequently return cargo to the Stewart Building. Many of these trips leave early, 5 or 6 o'clock in the morning, and since this is well before car pool time, etc., it is necessary for couriers to take a taxi. In the vouchers referred to, the cab fare in each case was paid in the morning. However, upon return to 5th and K Streets, the cab fare home was denied with no explanation whatsoever.

3. It would appear to the undersigned that since we get these men TDY in the very early hours of the morning, take them away from their regular place of assignment, and cause them to go to a relatively unfamiliar location, etc., and thence to Bolling Field, that paying their taxi fare is official business within the meaning of the regulations. It would seem, it follows, that since we cause the courier, by virtue of his assignment, to again stop at the Stewart Building, rather than leave from Bolling Field, (where his fare would be paid to his home and in most cases be a greater fare) that it would be perfectly legal to pay the man taxi fare from the Stewart Building to his home.

25 YEAR RE-REVIEW

SECRET

DPD-6618-59

4. This, I think, is more obvious if the man should arrive after a specific time in the evening, possibly 1800. However, if the man is allowed to go home at completion of his assignment at, for example, 1600, I still feel that his taxi fare should be paid since it is nothing more than a continuation of his trip home from the Airport which the regulations allow.

5. I request that the sum of \$2.25 disallowed on [redacted] travel voucher dated 15 September 1959 and the sum of \$2.20 disallowed on [redacted] travel voucher of 6 October 1959 be reconsidered and paid as claimed.

[redacted]

Security Officer
DPD-DD/P

641 - [redacted]
141 - Sec-DPD-DD/P
1 - DPD-FI

SECRET